R. Mitchell (SBN 172664) Attorney at Law 3705 Meadow Vista Trail Lithonia, GA 30038 510.836.2097 (ph)/510.380.6431 (fax) Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Bernard Mitchell,	Case No.: 8:15-cv-01307-CJC-JCG	
Plaintiff(s),		
vs.		
Deutsche Bank National Trust Company,		
Trustee of the Asset Securitization Trust	Notice of Proof of Service of Summons and Complaint and Related Documents	
2007-A8 Mortgage Pass through		
Certification Series 2007-11 Under Pooling		
and Servicing Agreement Dated June 1,	Case Filed: August 16, 2015	
2007, its Assigns and/or Successors of		
Interest and Does 1-10.		
Defendant(s).		

Notice is hereby given that service upon the Defendant Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, Trustee of the Asset Securitization Trust 2007-A8 Mortgage Pass through Certification Series 2007-11 Under Pooling and Servicing Agreement Dated June 1, 2007, its Assigns and/or Successors of Interest was duly served on the date noted. Exhibits A and B.

A courtesy notice of litigation and the filing of the Complaint had also served upon the last known attorney for the Defendant in other matters on Monday August 17, 2015. **See Exhibit C.**

Dated August 21, 2015

Rug Mitchell

Raye Mitchell

Attorney for Plaintiff

POS-010

	LO3-011		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
raye mitchell, 172664			
Mitchell Law Group			
1300 Clay Street Suite 600			
Oakland, CA 94612			
TELEPHONE NO.: (510) 836-2097			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF			
United States District Court, Central District of California 411 West Fourth St Sa			
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Bernard Mitchell	CASE NUMBER:		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Deutsche Bank Trust Company, as Trustee	8:15-cv-01307-CJC-JCG		
The state of the s			
	Ref. No. or File No.:		
PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	none		
To the state of th			

- 1. At the time of service I was a citizen of the United States, at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I served copies of: Complaint, Summons, List of Exhibits and Reference List, Civil Cover Sheet, Notice to Parties of Court-Direc ADR Program, Notice of Assignment to United States Judges, Plaintiffs' Notice of Pendency of Action
- 3. a. Party served: Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, Trustee of the Asset Securitization Trust 2007-A8 Mortgage Pass through Certification Series 2007-11 Under Pooling and Servicing Agreement Dated June 1, 2007.
 - b. Person Served: Suzanne Patten Person authorized to accept service of process
- 4. Address where the party was served: 1761 East Saint Andrew Place

Santa Ana, CA 92705

- 5. I served the party
 - b. by substituted service. On (date): 8/19/2015

in the presence of: Suzanne Patten

- (1) (business) a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the office or usual place of business of the person to be served. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
- (4) A declaration of mailing is attached.
- 6. The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows: c. on behalf of:

Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, Trustee of the Asset Securitization Trust 2007-A8 Mortgage Pass through Cer

under:

Other: Business Organization Form Unknown

- 7. Person who served papers
 - a. Name:

Andrew Swatzell

b. Address:

One Legal - 194-Marin 504 Redwood Blvd #223

Novato, CA 94947

- c. Telephone number: 415-491-0606
- d. The fee for service was: \$ 144,16
- e. I am:
 - (3) registered California process server.
 - (i) Employee or independent contractor.
 - (ii) Registration No. PSC # 2246
 - (iii) County ORANGE
- 8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 8/21/2015

Andrew Swatzell

INAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS)

Code of Civil Procedure, § 417.10

Case 4:15-cv-05006-JSW Document 10 Filed 08/21/15 Page 4 of 6

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address):	TOTOLOGICALO		FOR COURT 140F 01114		
	TELEPHONE NO.	:	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
raye mitchell, 172664	(510) 836-209	97			
Mitchell Law Group	(+ 10) 000 200				
1300 Clay Street					
	Ref. No. or File No.				
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff	nor	ne			
Insert name of court, judicial district or branch court, if any:			•		
United States District Court, Central District of California 411 West Fourth St San					
		#			
PLAINTIFF:					
Bernard Mitchell					
DEFENDANT:					
DEFENDANT.					
Deutsche Bank Trust Company, as Trustee					
DATE:	TIME: DE	EPT/DIV:	CASE NUMBER:		
PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL			8:15-cv-01307-CJC-JCG		

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 504 Redwood Blvd #223, Novato, CA 94947.

On 8/20/2015, after substituted service under section CCP 415.20(a) or 415.20(b) or FRCP 4(e)(2)(B) or FRCP 4(h)(1)(B), or service under CCP 415.95(a) was made (if applicable), I mailed copies of the:

Complaint, Summons, List of Exhibits and Reference List, Civil Cover Sheet, Notice to Parties of Court-Directed ADR Program, Notice of Assignment to United States Judges, Plaintiffs' Notice of Pendency of Action

By Fax

to the defendant in said action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with First Class postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, CA, California, addressed as follows:

Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, Trustee of the Asset Securitization Trust 2007-A8 Mortgage Pass through Certification Series 2007-11 Under Pooling and Servicing Agreement Dated June 1, 2007, its Assigns and/or Successors of Interest

Suzanne Patten

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited within the United States Postal Service, on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Fee for Service: \$144.16

Khari Wyatt Andrew Swatzell One Legal - 194-Marin 504 Redwood Blvd #223 Novato, CA 94947 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on 8/21/2015 at Los Angeles, California.

Khari Wyatt

OL# 7395508



Law Office of Raye Mitchell 3705 Meadow Vista Trail Lithonia, GA 30028 510.836.2097 (ph) 510.380.6531(fax) email: raye@rayemitchell.com

August 17, 2015

Via Facsimile 415.956.0439

Mark D. Lonergan Swerson & Werson LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111

Re: Mitchell vs. Deutsche Bank, As Trustee

United States District Court Central District of California

Civil Case No. 8:15-cv-01307-CJC-JCG

Dear Mr. Lonergan:

My office represents Mr. Bernard Mitchell in the above matter electronically filed in the USDC Central District Court on August 16, 2015. A Summons has been issued and will be served upon your client. In compliance with the USDC Civility and Professionalism Guidelines, I am providing a courtesy notice of the Complaint that is available online through PACER.

In a previous letter, Mr. Mitchell inquired if your office was authorized to accept ordinary service of process in lieu of service upon your clients. It was not a request for waiver of service of summons. I will be dispatching the Complaint and related documents for process service tomorrow to Deutsche Bank, unless your office advices that it is authorized to accept service of process at your offices.

In addition to providing a courtesy copy and notice of filing the complaint, I want to advise you of my availability to discuss resolution and or any proposed motions that the Defendant may want to file with the Court. Again, pursuant to the Civility and Professionalism Guidelines, Guideline 8 states that "[b]efore filing a motion with the court, we will engage in more than a mere pro forma discussion of its purpose in an effort to resolve the issue with opposing counsel.

Case 4:15-cv-05006-JSW Document 10 Filed 08/21/15 Page 6 of 6

I do not presume to speak for Deutsche Bank's position on these matters, but as indicated in the Complaint, Plaintiff has found absolutely no objective evidence that any loan was ever assigned to Deutsche Bank by IndyMac F.S.B. at any time prior to its seizure by the FDIC. Still further, the FDIC confirms that it was only servicing loan number 1009875764 and it was only loan number 1009875764 that was the subject of the sale of loan servicing rights to One West Bank. In addition, the FDIC has absolutely no records of a loan no. 12570295 or any purported merger of the two loans. See the enclosed disclosure by the FDIC under the FOIA. If this concept of a different "investor loan" ever came into existence, one would expect the FDIC to have some records and the absence of such is deadly to Deutsche Bank's claims. This whole concept appears to be the construct of One West Bank and Deutsche Bank.

While Deutsche Bank seeks to argue in theory that there was one loan, it must meet Rule 11 pleading standards and present some proof. Furthermore, the onus was never upon the plaintiff to sort out the convoluted story that Deutsche Bank now presents about one loan with two loan numbers and some unspecified assignment date.

After a review of the Complaint, I am open for a conference call as to any proposed motion your client may want to pursue. Please advice if you can accept service for your client. I will send out tomorrow.

Regards,

Raye Mitchell

Ruy Mitchell